


Q1 Do you live near Brickfields Walk Footpath WR858 railway level crossing?


Answered: 102 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	74.51%	76
No	25.49%	26
TOTAL		102

Q2 How often did you use the footpath/ railway crossing before it was closed?


Answered: 102 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Daily	9.80%	10
A couple of times a week	12.75%	13
Sometimes	29.41%	30
Rarely	21.57%	22
Never	26.47%	27
TOTAL		102

Q3 Do you support the closure of the footpath?

Answered: 102 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	52.94% 54
No (please specify)	47.06% 48
TOTAL	102

#	NO (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	This path allows quick and secure (from traffic) access to and from the park. With it being closed the acces to the park is along polluted and congested roads. Reopen the path with a pedestrian bridge and cctv	3/29/2022 1:47 PM
2	I've literally only just found out about the existence of this path, live locally and enjoy Brickfields Park. If antisocial behaviour is the issue then use the police more effectively. Closure won't solve the issue.	3/25/2022 8:10 AM
3	It's safer than walking under the bridge	3/24/2022 10:31 PM
4	It makes it longer to walk round .	3/24/2022 10:13 PM
5	Prosecution for offenders, no about or warning Prosecution	3/24/2022 8:25 PM
6	Public rights of way should stay open	3/24/2022 8:22 PM
7	Its easier access to Astwood rather then walking all the way round	3/24/2022 2:57 PM
8	It makes a nice walk to cut through here at a time when its important to get out and walk sometimes a short walk round the block is essiest	3/24/2022 7:09 AM
9	Access to public	3/23/2022 10:35 PM
10	Been used for years and little issues	3/23/2022 9:07 PM
11	It provided quick and good access to the playing fields for people living in the area.	3/23/2022 5:27 PM
12	It's a public right of way, on which a railway was built with the express promise that such rights of way would be preserved. Closing it deprives THOUSANDS of law abiding citizens of this right of way because a handful of anti-social miscreants cannot behave. This is punishing the law abiding rather than deal with those who break the law .	3/23/2022 12:23 PM
13	Public ROW should be retained	3/23/2022 11:51 AM

Closure of Footpath WR858 (Brickfields Walk) Public Space Protection Order Survey


14	It is a public right of way, these should be protected at all costs.	3/23/2022 10:45 AM
15	This does not stop anti social behaviour, in fact enclosing an Area encourages it as people being Anti Social can't be chased away or confronted as most people can't get to it, and child can climb the fence!	3/22/2022 6:49 PM
16	It's a right of way... by law we have a right across the land. If we start loosing these now then it sets a precedent for the rest. Maintain the footpath & it won't be so antisocial. You let it get dark & overgrown then wonder why the wrong crowds hang out there. You just regenerated the meco ally, now is the perfect time to keep it open & encourage people to get around by foot & bike.	3/22/2022 12:26 PM
17	Public rights of ways should be left open. If there is an issue of safety, then make it safer. Install a crossing bridge and solve all issues.	3/22/2022 6:49 AM
18	The issues should be properly rectified, instead of closing down what is a public right of way.	3/21/2022 5:23 PM
19	helpful path	3/21/2022 4:14 PM
20	It's a good cut through when used properly	3/21/2022 4:07 PM
21	Should be open it's public right of way	3/21/2022 1:14 PM
22	No I dont	3/20/2022 7:58 PM
23	Should never have been closed. It was used by walkers and cyclists to cross to the park and avoid the most dangerous roundabouts in Worcester. There has never been a person injured on crossing. There are almost weekly accidents on mini roundabout and bicycles/children/. You should look at the statistics of accidents since closed. It is more dangerous to cross Astwood Rd with the way traffic and only plastic bollards to protect you. Having lived close to it for 16 years when it closed it took away access to Green Space from this side of park and a safe cycle route into park.	3/20/2022 3:05 PM
24	You should adress the issues not ignore them. Police patrols in the area needed	3/18/2022 11:58 PM
25	This footpath was well used as a shortcut	3/18/2022 8:48 PM
26	It's a good short cut people abusing it should be locked up because fines don't work plus anti social behavior is ripe on the playing fields but you never ever see the police or a park warden	3/18/2022 5:51 PM
27	I do not support the closure, which limits access to brickfields	3/18/2022 5:45 PM
28	it makes my journey to work much shorter	3/18/2022 4:26 PM
29	If there is a public right of way then a bridge should be erected in its place.	3/18/2022 1:24 PM
30	The crossing over the railway should be stopped for safety by the lane either side could still be left open	3/18/2022 10:52 AM
31	I didn't know that they planned on closing it.	3/18/2022 12:13 AM
32	It is a valuable shortcut when time is of the essence, it saves me using the car, though it's closure will mean Having to use the car more.	3/17/2022 10:54 PM
33	I like it	3/17/2022 10:20 PM
34	You are ignoring the real issue. The anti social behaviour will simply move "down the track". The proposal penalises those who use it in good faith. Understand, identify, & resolve the problem at source. The fact I don't live there or use it has nothing to do with your decision, you've asked the public at large, read our comments without prejudice.	3/17/2022 9:38 PM
35	It will restrict access	3/17/2022 7:33 PM
36	We shouldn't be closing footpaths	3/17/2022 6:02 PM
37	Many use it.	3/17/2022 5:43 PM
38	Why is there not a box underneath 'Yes' to specify a reason? Is this not biased?	3/17/2022 12:27 PM
39	Links to a beautiful walk	3/17/2022 9:36 AM
40	No.	3/17/2022 9:15 AM

Closure of Footpath WR858 (Brickfields Walk) Public Space Protection Order Survey

41	I object to the approach of closing facilities because of anti social behaviour. A better approach would be to deal with that issue through policing and a deterrent justice system. The extent to which you have got this wrong is indicated by my response to Q6 - I cannot decide whether the term 'offender' is used to describe those guilty of the anti social behaviour which has caused this situation or people who continue use the path that you intend to close. If the latter you have succeeded in making ordinary people 'offenders' through your failure to deal with the actual problem.	3/17/2022 7:29 AM
42	This is a useful track which if lost is lost forever anti social behaviour however is short and transitory.	3/17/2022 7:12 AM
43	The crossing across the railway could be made safer. It was a much easier way for us to access the field.	3/17/2022 7:06 AM
44	I don't support the closure of any footpath. This council seems to be quite hostile to pedestrians and would prefer everyone to travel by car. If Worcester is to be an active city, footpaths should be open	3/17/2022 6:11 AM
45	it's a shorter and was a scenic route	3/16/2022 10:58 PM
46	Dangerous crossing an active rail line.	3/16/2022 9:16 PM
47	I have lived in Green Lane for over 30 years. The walkway has been closed for quite some years now and quite unnecessarily. It was a handy passage to get to the playing field, you had a clear view for oncoming trains to be able to cross in a safe and timely manner. The kissing gate on both sides made you stop and look before crossing. Apart from accessing the park from Brickfields Road or at the top of tunnel hill there is now no in-between access. I also in all my time living there, I have never heard of any accident that has happened at this crossing for it to be closed for "safety reasons". I think it was more to do with maintainance of the ally way 'one less job' for your neighbourhood crews!	3/16/2022 2:37 PM
48	I live next to the footpath and was subject to and repoted regular antisocial behaviour and dangerous incidents on the railway crossing prior to the closure	3/13/2022 7:56 PM

Q4 Do you agree that the Council should close the footpath for the maximum amount of time permitted, which is for three years?

Answered: 102 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	50.98%	52
No (please specify)	49.02%	50
TOTAL		102

#	NO (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Keep it open. Build a pedestrian bridge over it	3/29/2022 1:47 PM
2	Improve level crossing features to make it more obvious if a train is approaching. Lights etc. There should be no need to close this path.	3/25/2022 8:10 AM
3	Because it is useful	3/24/2022 10:31 PM
4	Stupid	3/24/2022 10:13 PM
5	It's a much needed right of way	3/24/2022 8:25 PM
6	Public rights of way should stay open	3/24/2022 8:22 PM
7	Been to long	3/24/2022 2:57 PM
8	It would be nice to use the path	3/24/2022 7:09 AM
9	Public access	3/23/2022 10:35 PM
10	Nope	3/23/2022 9:07 PM
11	It should reopen	3/23/2022 5:27 PM
12	It's a public right of way, on which a railway was built with the express promise that such rights of way would be preserved. Closing it deprives THOUSANDS of law abiding citizens of this right of way because a handful of anti-social miscreants cannot behave. This is punishing the law abiding rather than deal with those who break the law .	3/23/2022 12:23 PM
13	As above	3/23/2022 11:51 AM
14	It must not be closed. This would be a way of avoiding necessary work or policing. Why should the law abiding majority suffer, please look after our interest.	3/23/2022 10:45 AM

Closure of Footpath WR858 (Brickfields Walk) Public Space Protection Order Survey


15	It should be open and more people are starting to get annoyed trains to this day are still delayed it has nothing to do with the crossing, I've got no problem in using it even now.	3/22/2022 6:49 PM
16	Closing the ally didn't stop anyone from going up there. The fence was down for ages. You just made it more dangerous for people, animals & wildlife. - no one is policing it so of course the kids just go past the fence	3/22/2022 12:26 PM
17	It's a bandaid on the issue instead of solving it. Why not uplift the area instead of removing things?	3/22/2022 6:49 AM
18	Should be closed for good should not even think of reopening it up	3/21/2022 7:23 PM
19	See above.	3/21/2022 5:23 PM
20	nope.	3/21/2022 4:14 PM
21	It's already been closed long enough	3/21/2022 4:07 PM
22	Same as above public right of way	3/21/2022 1:14 PM
23	No I dont because it's quicker	3/20/2022 7:58 PM
24	No they should clean it up and stop people using as a car parking space there is no cycle route on Astwood Rd and this could access one.	3/20/2022 3:05 PM
25	You should adress the issues not ignore them. Police patrols in the area needed	3/18/2022 11:58 PM
26	The footpath should be open why punish everyone for some little gits behaviour	3/18/2022 8:48 PM
27	Shut it permanently	3/18/2022 7:09 PM
28	Like I said if we had a park warden or a regular police patrol anti social behaviour would not be so bad	3/18/2022 5:51 PM
29	I think it should be reopened	3/18/2022 5:45 PM
30	It would be very inconvenient for me	3/18/2022 4:26 PM
31	If there is a public right of way then a bridge should be erected in its place.	3/18/2022 1:24 PM
32	It's a footpath why was it created !!	3/18/2022 10:52 AM
33	Leave it open and install cameras as they do on level crossings.	3/17/2022 10:54 PM
34	No	3/17/2022 10:20 PM
35	As above, you are failing to resolve the real issue.	3/17/2022 9:38 PM
36	Freedom of movement	3/17/2022 7:33 PM
37	PSPOs do not offer value for money. How many people have been given a fine for feeding guls?	3/17/2022 6:02 PM
38	No	3/17/2022 5:43 PM
39	Why is there not a box underneath 'Yes' to specify a reason? Is this not biased?	3/17/2022 12:27 PM
40	It is a path regularly used by many people for the right reasons	3/17/2022 9:36 AM
41	No.	3/17/2022 9:15 AM
42	See above.	3/17/2022 7:29 AM
43	It's too long and the behaviour will just move elsewhere	3/17/2022 7:12 AM
44	Same as above	3/17/2022 7:06 AM
45	It's already been closed longer than the maximum 3years	3/17/2022 6:34 AM
46	There are ways this footpath could be opened and made safe, measures that would discourage antisocial behaviour. Why are these not being explored?	3/17/2022 6:11 AM
47	.	3/16/2022 10:58 PM
48	Even longer	3/16/2022 9:16 PM

Closure of Footpath WR858 (Brickfields Walk) Public Space Protection Order Survey

49	These questions about closure of the passage way and crossing should have been asked to the before it comes time to make a final decision. No amount of pre closure time should exist.	3/16/2022 2:37 PM
50	It should be closed permanently	3/13/2022 7:56 PM

Q5 Do you support those not complying with the requirement being issued with a fixed penalty notice?


Answered: 102 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	53.92%	55
Agree	14.71%	15
Neither agree nor disagree	11.76%	12
Disagree	3.92%	4
Strongly disagree	15.69%	16
TOTAL		102

Q6 Do you support those who are persistent offenders being prosecuted?


Answered: 102 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	63.73%	65
Agree	14.71%	15
Neither agree nor disagree	8.82%	9
Disagree	1.96%	2
Strongly disagree	10.78%	11
TOTAL		102

Q7 Age


Answered: 96 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Under 25	5.21%	5
25 - 34	16.67%	16
35 - 44	17.71%	17
45 - 54	25.00%	24
55 - 64	20.83%	20
65+	14.58%	14
TOTAL		96

Q8 Do you consider yourself to be a Disabled person? (i.e. do you have physical or mental impairment which has a substantial long term adverse effect on your ability to carry out day to day activities?)


Answered: 95 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	20.00%	19
No	80.00%	76
TOTAL		95

Q9 Gender


Answered: 95 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Male	51.58%	49
Female	45.26%	43
Other	3.16%	3
TOTAL		95

Q10 Is your gender identity the same as the gender you were assigned at birth?

Answered: 94 Skipped: 8




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	98.94%	93
No	1.06%	1
TOTAL		94

Q11 Ethnicity

Answered: 96 Skipped: 6



Closure of Footpath WR858 (Brickfields Walk) Public Space Protection Order Survey



Closure of Footpath WR858 (Brickfields Walk) Public Space Protection Order Survey


ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
White	21.88%	21
White British	62.50%	60
White Irish	0.00%	0
White Eastern European	0.00%	0
Any Other White background	0.00%	0
White and Black Caribbean	0.00%	0
White and Black African	0.00%	0
White and Indian	0.00%	0
White and Pakistani	0.00%	0
White and Bangladeshi	0.00%	0
White and Chinese	0.00%	0
Any other Mixed background	2.08%	2
Asian British	0.00%	0
Indian	0.00%	0
Pakistani	0.00%	0
Bangladeshi	0.00%	0
Chinese	0.00%	0
Any other Asian background	0.00%	0
Black British	1.04%	1
African	0.00%	0
Caribbean	0.00%	0
Any other Black background	0.00%	0
Gypsy or Traveller	0.00%	0
Arab	0.00%	0
Any other ethnic group	0.00%	0
Prefer not to say	0.00%	0
Religion	1.04%	1
No religion	1.04%	1
Christian	7.29%	7
Jewish	0.00%	0
Hindu	0.00%	0
Buddhist	0.00%	0

Closure of Footpath WR858 (Brickfields Walk) Public Space Protection Order Survey

Muslim	0.00%	0
Sikh	0.00%	0
Other	3.13%	3
TOTAL		96

Q12 Sexual Orientation


Answered: 91 Skipped: 11



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Heterosexual/straight	89.01%	81
Gay man	2.20%	2
Gay woman/lesbian	0.00%	0
Bisexual	4.40%	4
Other	4.40%	4
TOTAL		91

Q13 Relationship Status


Answered: 96 Skipped: 6



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Single	16.67%	16
Married	57.29%	55
Civil Partnership	2.08%	2
Co-habiting	12.50%	12
Widow/er	3.13%	3
Other	8.33%	8
TOTAL		96

Q14 Pregnancy/Maternity: Are you pregnant or have you given birth in the last 26 weeks?

Answered: 93 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	3.23%	3
No	96.77%	90
TOTAL		93