


Q1 Do you live near Kays Crossing Footpath WR-678 railway level crossing?


Answered: 161 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	75.16%	121
No	24.84%	40
TOTAL		161

Q2 How often did you use the footpath/ railway crossing before it was closed?


Answered: 161 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Daily	13.04%	21
A couple of times a week	18.63%	30
Sometimes	30.43%	49
Rarely	15.53%	25
Never	22.36%	36
TOTAL		161

Q3 Do you support the closure of the footpath?

Answered: 161 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	36.65% 59
No (please specify)	63.35% 102
TOTAL	161

#	NO (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Residents of local area been disturbed especially in summer months by train warning hooters as they approach the crossings especially 6 to 8 in the morning and 10 up to midnight at night often waking up young children the train hooter noise is anti social residents of sentinel close are sick of this disturbance	4/6/2022 11:06 PM
2	Too many rights of way are being lost, and this should be kept and maintained as an access way to the reserve,	4/6/2022 5:49 PM
3	Well I use it. If people can't be sensible then that's their fault not mine.	3/25/2022 5:22 PM
4	Footpath used to give access to nature area for our children. We can no longer access this area by foot.	3/25/2022 3:50 PM
5	Do we close roads because people do stupid things on them... No. People need to take responsibility for their own actions.	3/25/2022 3:41 PM
6	closing the area will only mean the anti socials will go to another area or just break in a continue to use it like they have already.	3/25/2022 1:34 PM
7	No we should keep rights of way open	3/24/2022 8:20 PM
8	it's saves a lot of time when walking from Dines Green it cuts off a big chunk	3/24/2022 7:38 PM
9	It is a useful access to the Dines Green Estate a complete natural area full of interest	3/24/2022 7:23 PM
10	Loss of easy access to open space	3/24/2022 5:54 PM
11	The footpath provided a kind of rural way towards dines green and helped with getting back home from dines green.	3/24/2022 5:05 PM
12	It is a great link - used for walking and running	3/24/2022 10:21 AM
13	The footpath provides easy access to a nature reserve which is a positive public space. Rather than closing it, effort should be put in to make this pathway safe and secure for its	3/24/2022 10:17 AM

users.

14	I used the footpath daily for my route to work. I now have to go all the way around and it adds a lot of time to my commute. My sister also lives close by to the crossing, I used to cut through from Dines Green to see her. It is an inconvenience that uts closed.	3/24/2022 7:36 AM
15	Closing the footpath just makes Worcester less accessible/enjoyable by foot or bicycle. It's the nuclear option because you can't think of an actual solution.	3/24/2022 7:24 AM
16	How I ment to get over the other side	3/24/2022 12:21 AM
17	It is a useful crossing	3/23/2022 10:57 PM
18	It gives access to nature reserve etc	3/23/2022 10:26 PM
19	It is a right of way. And a shortcut, between Dine's Green and Bromyard road	3/23/2022 9:44 PM
20	It is a useful cut through	3/23/2022 9:33 PM
21	All footpaths link different areas and we need to encourage walking	3/23/2022 9:23 PM
22	Because having used the crossing regularly, not once did I witness antisocial behaviour. It's a convenient footpath for people. Saves journey time. If there is antisocial behaviour then this should be dealt with and maybe tougher penalties given. Not penalise the majority of law abiding citizens.	3/23/2022 8:57 PM
23	Its a really lovely and convenient footpath from Dines green to at Johns. Its not full of anti - social teenagers its a useful walkway. If you want teenagers to go elsewhere then put more funding into youth groups in Worcester.	3/23/2022 8:22 PM
24	This is a beautiful pathway and allows much quicker access to Dines Green from Sentinel Close. I often visit and care for my disabled dad who lives in Dines Green and it has been very frustrating having to walk all the way around on the main road, taking me longer to get there. I also go to uni all week and used to walk through the pathway also, making my journey time more enjoyable and shorter. I don't understand how closing this footpath will 'ensure the law-abiding community can use and enjoy' this public space, as is stated in your aims. Instead it hinders everyone's ability to use and enjoy the footpath. I understand it is cheaper to just close the footpath but surely if the security and well-being of the community was at the forefront of these plans, you would invest in things like CCTV, PCSOs monitoring the area or look to build an enclosed bridge crossing so that community members like myself can exercise and access amenities more easily via this pathway.	3/23/2022 7:55 PM
25	No the public should have access to the nature reserve.	3/23/2022 1:48 PM
26	It's a public right of way, on which a railway was built with the express promise that such rights of way would be preserved. Closing it deprives THOUSANDS of law-abiding citizens of this right of way because a handful of anti-social miscreants cannot behave. This is punishing the law-abiding rather than deal with those who break the law.	3/23/2022 12:22 PM
27	Why should the actions of the few cause this.. I'm sure this is just a cop out for all the authorities concerned, Network Rail and Council. I'm sure every area of antisocial behaviour does not get threatened with closure, the rail lines would not be able to operate.. this action is being taken because it will be the action of least resistance... people tell me that one day somebody will be killed, when did that last happen?	3/23/2022 11:25 AM
28	Antisocial people, vandals and trespassers should feel the full weight of the law for their choices, why should the majority of users lose access because of a minority of twits who think it's fun to play chicken? Let them play tell the driver to ignore them word will soon spread that playing chicken with a multi-tonne train is fatal. It maybe the only way these twits will learn a valuable lesson. Maybe manned CCTV would be a better investment than closing this path.	3/23/2022 11:02 AM
29	It's been used for years as a short cut to the estate .don't see the problem 😊	3/23/2022 11:00 AM
30	I don't agree with closing rights of way. Other steps should be taken to deter antisocial behaviour	3/23/2022 8:23 AM
31	Public right of way	3/23/2022 7:55 AM
32	Deal with the offenders, this is just avoiding the problem.	3/22/2022 11:29 PM
33	Inconvenient	3/22/2022 9:42 PM


34	Other measures should be put in place. Closing of footpaths is not acceptable	3/22/2022 9:15 PM
35	It is an essential route for many still despite the closing of keys	3/22/2022 6:26 PM
36	Very inconvenient I am disabled and can't walk far this just extends my journey	3/22/2022 6:01 PM
37	Extremely dangerous crossing from a health and safety perspective / little visibility of oncoming trains and lots of anti social behavior. The crossing is a danger to life	3/22/2022 11:23 AM
38	Why let the minority spoil it for all	3/22/2022 7:14 AM
39	It was a very useful footpath and feels much safer than meco alley in terms of anti social behaviour	3/21/2022 7:44 PM
40	Its my daily way fo work	3/21/2022 3:53 PM
41	It is perfectly safe. Idiots will always be idiots. Education and clear signage is all that's required.	3/21/2022 1:02 AM
42	This would not be a problem in Europe so why is it a problem here? Complete nanny state "keeping you safe"!	3/20/2022 9:09 PM
43	Looks dangerous	3/20/2022 7:21 PM
44	It was nice to walk across quicker and lovely to watch the trains go by	3/20/2022 6:36 PM
45	It was a convenient shortcut that lead to dines green and made the city more walkable	3/20/2022 12:27 PM
46	All you need is common sense simple	3/20/2022 7:07 AM
47	It's an important and used shortcut. As more housing gets developed, its use will increase.	3/20/2022 12:42 AM
48	It is perfectly safe providing it is used correctly. I use a couple of crossings in my area and would be furious if they were closed.	3/19/2022 11:49 PM
49	It is safe to cross when sensibly used.	3/19/2022 11:09 PM
50	Useful Public right of way	3/19/2022 8:19 PM
51	A useful and convenient footpath taking you to the brook and other areas of St. John's	3/19/2022 7:14 PM
52	I walk my dog here everyday there isn't anywhere else to walk her other then on the street	3/19/2022 3:48 PM
53	No footpath should be closed, especially one like this that is quite unique these days.	3/19/2022 11:42 AM
54	If there is a public right of way then a bridge should be erected.	3/19/2022 7:45 AM
55	No	3/18/2022 11:03 PM
56	Opposed to closing footpaths. Deal with the problem rather than closing access	3/18/2022 9:15 PM
57	Loss of a valuable local amenity route creating a through route to Bromyard Road from the nature reserve. It is not clear that there is a compelling safety case as there is no quantification of the problems, and the explanation of proposals relates to a different crossing.	3/18/2022 8:30 PM
58	The footpath was both a practical short cut, and an enjoyable walk through an area very close to nature in the raw. It is more than a walk, it is an "experience" if you stop to appreciate it.	3/18/2022 7:54 PM
59	Completely contrary to any green transport policy in that it forces people to travel far greater distance promoting car usage.	3/18/2022 7:00 PM
60	I like it	3/18/2022 6:18 PM
61	It makes my journey a lot longer and less likely to walk to where I want to go	3/18/2022 11:05 AM
62	It will isolate areas of our community.	3/18/2022 9:01 AM
63	It's part if a good walk and I use it quite a lot	3/17/2022 9:27 PM
64	Pathetic excuses * not dangerous at all and anti social behaviour can happen anywhere (what do we pay the police for?)	3/17/2022 8:38 PM
65	I see no valid reason for the closure of this crossing. A vague general statement about	3/17/2022 6:38 PM

	trespass is made but nothing to support the claim that the crossing is 'dangerous'.	
66	the footpath needs to be cleaned, cleared and properly maintained.	3/17/2022 6:28 PM
67	It is a regular walking route for me and my family	3/17/2022 6:14 PM
68	It's a footpath leave it open,only housing development wants it closed	3/17/2022 5:27 PM
69	As far as I am aware there have been no serious incidents at the crossing.	3/17/2022 4:35 PM
70	It's a much easier route to get to another estate. It's ridiculous that it's been closed for so long.	3/17/2022 10:40 AM
71	It allows a lovely circular walk and I miss it for my mental well being.	3/17/2022 10:32 AM
72	Used to walk dogs to reserve but too far to go around now.	3/17/2022 9:06 AM
73	Right of way	3/17/2022 7:44 AM
74	The crossing has been there for years, it is still used. If people can't cross a railway safely that is their problem. Why should normal, rule abiding people be governed by the actions of idiots.	3/17/2022 7:32 AM
75	No, why should a few minorities spoil it for the majority of people who used the ancient right of passage regularly to commute into town for work/ leisure. The alternative route is walking down a dark alley way at night. There is also anti social behaviour in other parts of st Johns which gets overlooked.	3/17/2022 7:15 AM
76	Not one inch of footpath should be taken away.	3/17/2022 7:14 AM
77	These are old rights of way, why can these not be maintained when they were there before the railways wer built there?	3/17/2022 7:06 AM
78	Closing would eliminate a section of a public right of way and mean pedestrian traffic having to take a longer detour along roads.	3/17/2022 6:27 AM
79	No	3/17/2022 4:36 AM
80	It's a convenient footpath, while there is danger involved when crossing, there's also danger crossing a busy road. In regards to anti-social behaviour, lights could be put up or police could occasionally walk down there, closing it will only mean the anti-social behaviour will go on but just behind a fence/brick wall.	3/17/2022 4:33 AM
81	Use for dog walk to nature reserve	3/17/2022 3:52 AM
82	I enjoyed walking this route & also handy for making my journey home quicker.	3/17/2022 1:40 AM
83	This will not solve the problem. It will not stop trespassing. Punishing the many for the behaviour of the few is NOT the answer.	3/17/2022 12:02 AM
84	This crossing is an important place to walk	3/16/2022 11:26 PM
85	I live nearby and have seen the fence blocking access vandalised all the time. People who want to be a nuisance and cause issues on the railway will still manage to get past whereas civilised people will lose their right to use the footpath. I believe more police presence in the area and a safer system to cross the railway are more adequate solutions to the problem.	3/16/2022 11:18 PM
86	It's a massive shortcut!	3/16/2022 10:55 PM
87	It's a public pathway, why would you shut it? Educate people about safe crossing, don't just shut it off.	3/16/2022 10:52 PM
88	keep it open	3/16/2022 10:14 PM
89	It's an enjoyable walk and links the public right through lovely fields and woodlands. I often walk across the crossing which is safe as long as people are sensible. It can only be closed if a footbridge is erected, but I don't think it's necessary.	3/16/2022 10:12 PM
90	Longer on my journey when visiting family	3/16/2022 10:03 PM
91	A very handy cut through, it's a long detour currently.	3/16/2022 9:44 PM
92	We use it to cut through to the Laugherne brook nature reserve to walk the dog.	3/16/2022 9:33 PM

93	Because I makes me have to walk a longer way	3/16/2022 9:22 PM
94	Useful Crossing	3/16/2022 8:59 PM
95	I regularly used this to get to see family and to walk my dogs	3/16/2022 8:58 PM
96	Don't understand why it's being closed. Is very useful to get to my family quicker.	3/16/2022 8:44 PM
97	Leave it alone. No one has been injured so leave it as it is	3/16/2022 8:42 PM
98	It's a footpath which enables residents to access Bromyard road easily and it's closure means a longer diversion and a subsequent increase in car journeys.	3/16/2022 8:39 PM
99	It's ridiculous to force the permanent closure of this crossing. Where is individual responsibility. How many fatalities have occurred here? Not enough to warrant this horrendous blockage of walking path.	3/16/2022 6:41 PM
100	We should be doing all we can to encourage people to travel actively by foot. The closure of a pedestrian right of way is never the answer.	3/16/2022 5:55 PM
101	This Boughton halt railway crossing was opened in 1924 as a means for workers to alight at this halt to work at nearby factories. Even though a number of these factories are now long gone and the halt was closed by the cuts of 1965 it has always been a very desirable short cut public footpath for pedestrians to use from the Bromyard road over to the Dines Green estate, I cannot say I have heard or read anything suggesting anti social behavior, trespass or dangerous incidents at this crossing and would ask that Network rail or anyone else provide evidence of proof that these incidents have actually happened.	3/16/2022 4:37 PM
102	An historic public right of way should not be closed to the detriment of thousands of safe users because of a handful of foolish individuals. How can the law abiding public benefit from its closure.	3/16/2022 3:04 PM

Q4 Do you agree that the Council should close the footpath for the maximum amount of time permitted, which is for three years?

Answered: 161 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	40.99%	66
No (please specify)	59.01%	95
TOTAL		161

#	NO (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	The council & Network Rail should look at alternative ways of achieving the goal of reducing anti-social behaviour, and trespass.	4/6/2022 5:49 PM
2	I don't agree that it should be closed.	3/25/2022 5:22 PM
3	Fix the problem please rather than just shutting it off	3/25/2022 3:50 PM
4	Will three years make people more responsible? No	3/25/2022 3:41 PM
5	as above	3/25/2022 1:34 PM
6	No we should keep rights of way open	3/24/2022 8:20 PM
7	it was out there for a reason so why get ride of it	3/24/2022 7:38 PM
8	It is another sign of a one sided view to close public right of ways	3/24/2022 7:23 PM
9	Prevents access	3/24/2022 5:54 PM
10	I'd like to see it reopened with renovations to the path so it's more safe to walk down. The sloped path from mecco to the railway made it difficult sometimes to walk down so it would be nice to see renovations to the path.	3/24/2022 5:05 PM
11	Why 3 years - you'll just close it after	3/24/2022 10:21 AM
12	For the reasons stated in the previous question.	3/24/2022 10:17 AM
13	It should be open to the public ASAP, as it effects a lot of people daily. School children use this footpath, people who work and dog walkers. It is close by to the Brook which is very lovely and close to the nature reserve. People cannot access these areas as conveniently if the footpath is closed.	3/24/2022 7:36 AM


14	What does it actually achieve? It's not addressing the problem, just the symptoms.	3/24/2022 7:24 AM
15	Can't get over it	3/24/2022 12:21 AM
16	Education is better	3/23/2022 10:57 PM
17	It gives access to nature reserve etc	3/23/2022 10:26 PM
18	Its a important route for workers!	3/23/2022 9:44 PM
19	I don't understand what the use of this would be for.	3/23/2022 9:33 PM
20	It's been closed long enough	3/23/2022 9:23 PM
21	Why keep it closed just for the sake of bureaucracy? Open it as soon as appropriate measures are in place.	3/23/2022 8:57 PM
22	It should be kept open for convenience for all. Its a public footpath.	3/23/2022 8:22 PM
23	As stated above, I am passionate to keep this pathway open and believe it has been closed too long. It is a great way for people to access nature too, which has been shown to improve mental health.	3/23/2022 7:55 PM
24	As above.	3/23/2022 1:48 PM
25	It's a public right of way, on which a railway was built with the express promise that such rights of way would be preserved. Closing it deprives THOUSANDS of law abiding citizens of this right of way because a handful of anti-social miscreants cannot behave. This is punishing the law abiding rather than deal with those who break the law .	3/23/2022 12:22 PM
26	Because it will be the thin end of the wedge, going down the slippery slope.. against the people who use it.. it will lead to my reasoning above which I have copied and pasted below " Why should the actions of the few cause this.. I'm sure this is just a cop out for all the authorities concerned, network rail and council. I'm sure every area of antisocial behaviour does not get threatened with closure, the rail lines would not be able to operate.. this action is being taken because it will be the action of least resistance... people tell me that one day somebody will be killed, when did that last happen? "	3/23/2022 11:25 AM
27	Some of the twits who have abused it may have come to their senses or succumbed to their habits since 2020 we can only hope. But with luck not using it (which they have anyway because they just take down the fence) will have enticed them elsewhere	3/23/2022 11:02 AM
28	Why	3/23/2022 11:00 AM
29	As above	3/23/2022 8:23 AM
30	Should not close	3/23/2022 7:55 AM
31	Why should it be closed??	3/22/2022 11:29 PM
32	FART	3/22/2022 9:42 PM
33	Footpaths should not be closed	3/22/2022 9:15 PM
34	See above	3/22/2022 6:26 PM
35	They should close it until they clear it and then re open it	3/22/2022 6:01 PM
36	No	3/22/2022 7:14 AM
37	No because for some people it was a much more convenient path to take.	3/21/2022 7:44 PM
38	No [REDACTED] off	3/21/2022 3:53 PM
39	Just open it.	3/21/2022 1:02 AM
40	Why - see previous answer.	3/20/2022 9:09 PM
41	I want it open again	3/20/2022 6:36 PM
42	It should be closed to be reworked to make it safe for those using it, not just make it an out of sight out of mind problem	3/20/2022 12:27 PM

43	I use it regularly saves time and money	3/20/2022 7:07 AM
44	It's an important and used shortcut. As more housing gets developed, its use will increase.	3/20/2022 12:42 AM
45	Leave it alone!	3/19/2022 11:49 PM
46	See above	3/19/2022 11:09 PM
47	Inconvenient	3/19/2022 8:19 PM
48	Close for the time taken to repair crossing.	3/19/2022 7:14 PM
49	No they shouldn't	3/19/2022 3:48 PM
50	It should not be closed at all, full stop. If the closure is allowed, it means that any access can be closed on a whim. Far more antisocial activity takes place in other places, and if there are ever any accidents on this path/crossing, I would imagine that it is far fewer than other public roads. Are we then going to close all roads in case of injury....	3/19/2022 11:42 AM
51	If there is a public right of way then a bridge should be erected.	3/19/2022 7:45 AM
52	No	3/18/2022 11:03 PM
53	As above	3/18/2022 9:15 PM
54	see above	3/18/2022 8:30 PM
55	The crossing should be provided with sufficient numbers of security cameras to record and identify any offenders who abuse "standard" safety procedures, or endanger railway personnel and property. ... Additional safety features could be introduced by way of automatic drop barriers and and exclusion zone fencing. Safety is not an option, it is an obligation. If a layman like myself can envisage possible solutions, then knowledgeable experts should be able to devise a practical solution. Closing the footpath is a cop out, not a confrontation of the issue.	3/18/2022 7:54 PM
56	This will force people to travel far greater distance promoting car usage and once they are made to do this for 3 years they will not return to walking.	3/18/2022 7:00 PM
57	Nice option	3/18/2022 6:18 PM
58	Just make it safer if that's your concern	3/18/2022 11:05 AM
59	Same reason as before	3/17/2022 9:27 PM
60	See above	3/17/2022 8:38 PM
61	You have provided only general statements about trespass and vandalism.	3/17/2022 6:38 PM
62	The footpath needs to be cleared, cleaned & properly maintained. There are over 1000 homes going in on this end Bromyard Rd. It is important for all access points to be maintained	3/17/2022 6:28 PM
63	It is a regular route to walk for me and my family	3/17/2022 6:14 PM
64	As above	3/17/2022 5:27 PM
65	Why?	3/17/2022 4:35 PM
66	Absolutely not. It's a route that helps people commute. I would rather get the bus than walk all the way round.	3/17/2022 10:40 AM
67	This footpath should be open	3/17/2022 10:32 AM
68	Right of way	3/17/2022 7:44 AM
69	Same for the reasons given above.	3/17/2022 7:32 AM
70	I dont believe closing it will change much. I think there should be investments in deterrents for anti social behaviour.	3/17/2022 7:15 AM
71	No	3/17/2022 7:14 AM
72	Open the crossing back up, increasing traffic and maintenance at the point, if it was lit up better and better maintained there would be less anti social behaviour at the crossing.	3/17/2022 7:06 AM

73	It should not be closed at all	3/17/2022 6:27 AM
74	I believe it should stay open	3/17/2022 4:36 AM
75	It's a convenient footpath, while there is danger involved when crossing, there's also danger crossing a busy road. In regards to anti-social behaviour, lights could be put up or police could occasionally walk down there, closing it will only mean the anti-social behaviour will go on but just behind a fence/brick wall.	3/17/2022 4:33 AM
76	Please don't close	3/17/2022 3:52 AM
77	This is far too long.	3/17/2022 12:02 AM
78	The crossing should not be closed	3/16/2022 11:26 PM
79	A better solution should be sought.	3/16/2022 11:18 PM
80	I need to use it again asap!	3/16/2022 10:55 PM
81	Stopping people from crossing teaches them nothing. Education is the key. Clearly something is wrong with the system if the vandalism is getting worse and you're punishing the law abiding citizens.	3/16/2022 10:52 PM
82	i used to use it every day	3/16/2022 10:14 PM
83	As above it should not be closed at all.	3/16/2022 10:12 PM
84	Same as above	3/16/2022 10:03 PM
85	See above	3/16/2022 9:44 PM
86	It has been a public right of way for 60/70 year's, and already been closed for 2 years.	3/16/2022 9:33 PM
87	I think it should be closed permanently. Any chance to permanently mitigate the risk of crossing a live railway should be taken.	3/16/2022 9:23 PM
88	Because I mean I have to walk a loner way wich ruins my morning	3/16/2022 9:22 PM
89	Don't remove it for everyone when a minority mistreat it	3/16/2022 8:59 PM
90	We need this back open	3/16/2022 8:58 PM
91	Leave it alone	3/16/2022 8:42 PM
92	See above	3/16/2022 6:41 PM
93	As above.	3/16/2022 5:55 PM
94	I would ask that the Council do not just accept the Network rail suggestion this crossing should be closed, let them refer to any police incident numbers if any exist, I should be interested to read any. This public footpath will be a tremendous blow to the rights to use any footpath just because a railway crosses it. It seems to me that they are solely on a cost cutting measure. Please do not let them close it completely.	3/16/2022 4:37 PM
95	It should be re opened immediately.	3/16/2022 3:04 PM

Q5 Do you support those not complying with the requirement being issued with a fixed penalty notice?


Answered: 161 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	46.58%	75
Agree	15.53%	25
Neither agree nor disagree	16.15%	26
Disagree	7.45%	12
Strongly disagree	14.29%	23
TOTAL		161

Q6 Do you support those who are persistent offenders being prosecuted?


Answered: 161 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	57.14%	92
Agree	13.04%	21
Neither agree nor disagree	10.56%	17
Disagree	5.59%	9
Strongly disagree	13.66%	22
TOTAL		161

Q7 Age


Answered: 152 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Under 25	10.53% 16
25 - 34	19.08% 29
35 - 44	23.68% 36
45 - 54	23.03% 35
55 - 64	15.13% 23
65+	8.55% 13
TOTAL	152

Q8 Do you consider yourself to be a Disabled person? (i.e. do you have physical or mental impairment which has a substantial long term adverse effect on your ability to carry out day to day activities?)


Answered: 152 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	10.53% 16
No	89.47% 136
TOTAL	152

Q9 Gender


Answered: 150 Skipped: 11



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Male	61.33% 92
Female	37.33% 56
Other	1.33% 2
TOTAL	150

Q10 Is your gender identity the same as the gender you were assigned at birth?


Answered: 148 Skipped: 13



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	100.00%	148
No	0.00%	0
TOTAL		148


Q11 Ethnicity

Answered: 148 Skipped: 13



F

S




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
White	18.92%	28
White British	68.24%	101
White Irish	1.35%	2
White Eastern European	0.68%	1
Any Other White background	0.68%	1
White and Black Caribbean	0.00%	0
White and Black African	0.00%	0
White and Indian	0.00%	0
White and Pakistani	0.00%	0
White and Bangladeshi	0.00%	0
White and Chinese	0.00%	0
Any other Mixed background	0.68%	1
Asian British	0.68%	1
Indian	0.00%	0
Pakistani	0.00%	0
Bangladeshi	0.68%	1
Chinese	0.00%	0
Any other Asian background	0.00%	0
Black British	0.00%	0
African	0.00%	0
Caribbean	0.00%	0
Any other Black background	0.00%	0
Gypsy or Traveller	0.00%	0
Arab	0.68%	1
Any other ethnic group	0.00%	0
Prefer not to say	0.68%	1
Religion	0.00%	0
No religion	2.03%	3
Christian	4.05%	6
Jewish	0.00%	0
Hindu	0.00%	0
Buddhist	0.00%	0

Muslim	0.00%	0
Sikh	0.00%	0
Other	0.68%	1
TOTAL		148

Q12 Sexual Orientation


Answered: 135 Skipped: 26



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Heterosexual/straight	85.93%	116
Gay man	1.48%	2
Gay woman/lesbian	1.48%	2
Bisexual	4.44%	6
Other	6.67%	9
TOTAL		135

Q13 Relationship Status


Answered: 140 Skipped: 21



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Single	26.43% 37
Married	47.86% 67
Civil Partnership	0.00% 0
Co-habiting	17.86% 25
Widow/er	3.57% 5
Other	4.29% 6
TOTAL	140

Q14 Pregnancy/Maternity: Are you pregnant or have you given birth in the last 26 weeks?

Answered: 137 Skipped: 24



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	2.92%	4
No	97.08%	133
TOTAL		137