

APPENDIX 3.	Two LTP4 Consultation Sessions with Worcester Councillors and the County Council Response (11-1-17 & 5-7-16)	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. WTS – The issue could be addressed in a “Worcester Transport Strategy” supported by LTP4 2. Not in LTP4 – not supported by the County 3. In LTP4 – supported by LTP4
Topic	Comment / Issue	County Response - Draft Local Transport Plan – LTP4
	11-1-17 Latest Consultation – 23 Worcester Councillor Comments and County Response	
Buses	Buses must be part of solution to congestion and supporting growth	Not in LTP4 – better to use improved traffic management
Buses	County should liaise with First Bus and improve buses	Not in LTP4 – there is County liaison with First Bus
Traffic Signals	Key routes not “phased” properly	WTS
City Transport Strategy	LTP4 does nothing but say there will be a City TS – gives no idea of its purpose or strength in LTP4 and offers little project support for ideas put forward by Cllrs/City	WTS
City Transport Strategy	LTP4 focuses on road and rail and traffic management – this will not help the City grow and improve access for all	WTS
City Transport Strategy	Worcester Cllrs made 76 comments in 5-7-16. Those comments focused on: dealing with air pollution; managing traffic congestion and speeds; improving buses; travel plans for schools; supporting park and ride; improving cycling; better city car parking; removing lorries from the City; opening City rail halts; a second river crossing and a joint County/City strategy. In response LTP4 either allocates these issues to an unknown WTS, or says it will not be supported. LTP4 has not produced a strategy context for the City or set out any justification for its proposed projects.	WTS
City Transport Strategy	LTP4 has not produced an “integrated transport strategy for the City”	WTS
City Transport Strategy	LTP4 should be active on school travel plans; managing and influencing freight and lorry parks	Not in LTP4 – these are matters for schools; lorry associations and the City. The City could close Croft Road Lorry Park
City Transport Strategy	LTP4 does not explain how the new Parkway station will support growth into the City rather than away from it?	WTS
City Transport Strategy	LTP4 does not support WTS as a major project for funding – this is very unfair and does not support investment into the County’s main settlement	Not in LTP4
City Transport Strategy	LTP4 does not seem to be doing any public consultation – this must be corrected	In LTP4 - County will correct this and carry out public consultation
City Transport Strategy	LTP4 does not set out a strong “vision and purpose”. This needs to be done before discussion of City projects	WTS – and South Worcestershire Development Plan
Car Parking	LTP4 says nothing about car parking and strategies to help congestion – this is wrong	Not in LTP4 – parking matters are in a separate County “Network Management Plan”
Cars	Cars are main cause of congestion and air pollution, but LTP4 doesn’t include car constraint – this will not help deal with air pollution and its health risks	Not in LTP4 – car restraint is not in LTP4, but the WTS could tackle issues of air pollution by promoting cycling and walking and improving traffic flow.
Cars	Cars are the main cause of congestion – County and LTP4 should be talking to car drivers to find out how their behaviour to use the car can be influenced to use them less	Not in LTP4 – however the County supports two methods a) more information to the driver about their journey and b) car park management
Cars	Are there any successful English Cities that do not have some form of car restraint to	No – Not in LTP4

	manage congestion and support successful growth?	
"Rail & Ride"	What is it and will it work?	In LTP4 – drive to a station outside Worcester, park and travel into Worcester by train. Similar to "park and ride"
Better walking and cycling	Will supporting walking and cycling uniquely with support for buses and some level of car restraint work?	WTS and In LTP4 – Active Corridors - Exeter and Aylesbury are places where this strategy works
Better walking and cycling	Is the County confident that Worcester travellers can be persuaded to "walk and cycle" more and use the car less? Where does such a strategy actually work?	In LTP4 – Active Corridors - Exeter and Aylesbury are places where this strategy works
Public Realm and Pedestrian Safety	This is supported in LTP4- but the County has not progressed the TRO in the Shambles	WTS
Improve the City's Riverside Area	LTP4 should be supporting this	WTS
River buses	LTP4 should support this	Not in LTP4
Rail and Worcester Parkway	LTP4 should show a strong and fast link between the Parkway and the City, otherwise investment and growth will be taken away from the City	Not in LTP4
	5-7-16 First Consultation - 80 Worcester Councillor Comments and County Response	
20 mph AQMAS	In residential areas	For the Worcester Transport Strategy (WTS)
20 mph AQMAS	City wide 20mph zone in all side streets	WTS
AQMAS	Need much closer collaboration between highway schemes + reg services	WTS
AQMAS	Improve pollution on city centre roads	WTS
AQMAS	Air quality/ congestion needs to be priority	WTS
Buses	Subsidise essential bus service to Warndon, Rainbow Hill, Ronkswood, Dines green	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	Move bus depot and station	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	Discontinue the use of large buses introduce mini buses. Remove all bus stops - introduce "stop on demand" A more viable option	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	we must have more buses, not everyone can drive	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	Improve bus services that do not go to where needed i.e. local neighbourhoods	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	New bus station site?	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	Proper bus stops with shelter from rain and seats	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	Lowesmoor bus only regulations enforced	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	Relocate bus station / depot	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Buses	Enforcement of traffic orders e.g. Lowesmoor	Not in LTP4 – consider in WTS
Public Realm - Cathedral Square	Cathedral Sq. is goodish. Now need to get rid of access to car park to create an even better new square	WTS

Cycling - CCTV	For city centre bike racks	WTS
City Strategy - Communications	Communication of strategy - problem	WTS
Cycle ways	Repair cycle ways in Warndon villages , St Peters	Not in LTP4
Cycle ways	Increase cycle network	In LTP4 Active Corridors
Cycle ways	Canal towpath extended for cycle travel	In LTP4 Active Corridors
Cycle ways	Better cycling routes for commuters + shoppers (rather than just leisure)	In LTP4 Active Corridors
Cycle ways	Dangerous for cyclists going up London Road (going to county hall). Solution - new cycle path through Perrywood	In LTP4 Active Corridors
Cycle ways	Create an easy way for cyclists to cross the city centre bridge road on East side of the river	In LTP4 Active Corridors
Cycle ways	Get a commercial sponsor for a bike scheme	Not in LTP4
Cycle ways	Green travel corridors around schools to encourage walk / cycle	Not in LTP4
Cycle ways	educate - via schools. Cycling walking public transport	Not in LTP4
Cycle ways	Worcester bike scheme - cycle routes	Not in LTP4
Cycle ways	Increase physical activity - cycling walking	In LTP4 Active Corridors
Electric cars	Encourage electric car use by offering free parking to improve air quality	Not in LTP4
Electric cars	Reward scheme for electric vehicles + more fuel efficient, less polluting	Not in LTP4
Rail - Foregate Station	More bike racks at Foregate Street railway station	In LTP4 Active Corridors
City Strategy - Forums	Meaningful Highway Forums. Treat Worcester as its own place - not necessarily the same as other towns	WTS
Health hazard - AQMAS	Lowesmoor Bridge Street Barbourne corridors are a health hazard	WTS
City Strategy - Joint plan	County work with Worcester City over a joint plan	WTS
City Strategy - Joint plan	Joint ownership of plan for city transportation strategy	WTS
Road - Ketch	Review Ketch roundabout	In LTP3/4
Cycling - Lighting	Lighting on cycle ways including canal side	In LTP4 Active Corridors
Lorry	Move lorry park away from river to out of town	WTS
Lorry	Exclude HGV's from City centre	WTS
Lorry	Close HGV's lorry park Croft Road. Measure to stop through travelling HGV's	WTS
Road - Northern Link	Northern Link? Bridge (road) to north of city - what is plan B when city centre bridge needs repair	WTS
Road - One Way	Turn Bath Road/ London Road into a one way loop with Newtown Road remaining two way or vice versa	Not in LTP4

Road - One Way	One way systems around 1930's housing estates with narrow roads (Battenhall)	Not in LTP4
Oyster Cards	Introduce easy payment scheme like Oyster cards or touch payment for buses and trains	Not in LTP4
P&R	Review Park & Ride opportunities	Not in LTP4. LTP4 supports "Rail and Ride"
P&R	Bring back park & ride	Not in LTP4. LTP4 supports "Rail and Ride"
P&R	Park & ride over West	Not in LTP4. LTP4 supports "Rail and Ride"
P&R	Use rail park + ride Rushwick, Fernhill Heath , Norton	In LTP4
Parking	3 strategic positioned multi storey car parks less inner city and higher cost (nb must be revenue neutral)	WTS
Parking	Develop coherent parking strategy for city - which discourages long stay parking + use of residents streets	WTS
Parking	Work with city to address traffic / parking issues raised in the city & congestion review	WTS
Parking	County council administration to seriously recognise and tackle access, movement & parking issues in Worcester	WTS
Parking	Re-prioritise car parks. Increase enforcement of traffic regulation orders	WTS
Parking	Increase car park charges in the city. Decrease outside city	WTS – City Council Matter
Public Realm	Pedestrianise more of the city centre and restore P&R Services	WTS
Public Realm - Pelicans	More responsive pelican crossings for pedestrians	WTS
Public realm	Enhance city centre public realm by extending hours of pedestrianisation dramatically. Enforce misuse of pedestrian areas early morning/ evening	WTS
Railways	Open rail halts St Johns, Redhill, Battenhall	Not in LTP4
Railways	Complete duelling of rail line to Evesham	In LTP4
Railways	Traffic caused by short journeys - reopen rail halts in St Johns, create new ones	Not in LTP4
Railways	Shrub hill - if this is new focus it needs massive change physically and culturally to use it. Improve gateways and routes into city	WTS
Resident parking	City Centre resident parking e.g. Beverley scheme	WTS
Resident parking	Resident parking schemes in city centre	WTS
Resident parking	Close to city centre	WTS
Resident parking	Get commuters off residential street in city centre.	WTS
Resident parking	City centre residents parking scheme. Commuter parking in residential areas be penalised - use car parks	WTS
Public Realm - Ringway	Stop using Ringway!	Not in LTP4
River	Second river crossing	WTS
River	Second bridge, St Johns Castle Street	WTS
Schools	Ensure that there are enough school places so that people can go to their local school	Not in LTP4 – Not applicable

Schools	Problem - High traffic school term time. Solution - School buses green travel plans compulsory	Not in LTP4
Schools	Walking buses for schools. Promote car share by reducing parking charge or similar	Not in LTP4
Schools	Encourage walk to school	Not in LTP4
Public Realm - Skywalk	Skywalk	WTS
City Strategy	Work with city to refresh / adopt City Masterplan including coherent / sustainable access to movement proposals	In LTP4
Schools	Adopt transport plans for all schools and major employees to reduce car use and increase physical activity	Not in LTP4
Vision	Establish a long term view/ plan/ goal and then ensure that each decision takes us closer to that goal	WTS
Vision	We need a long term vision not a five year stop gap	WTS
Public Realm - Walking	Improve access for pedestrians, cyclists, people with disabilities - skywalk plan	WTS